

# Planta Medica

Journal of Medicinal Plant and Natural Product Research

## Editor-in-Chief

Luc Pieters, Antwerp, Belgium

## Senior Editor

Adolf Nahrstedt, Münster, Germany

## Review Editor

Matthias Hamburger, Basel, Switzerland

## Editors

Wolfgang Barz, Münster, Germany  
Rudolf Bauer, Graz, Austria  
Veronika Butterweck, Gainesville FL, USA  
João Batista Calixto, Florianopolis, Brazil  
Thomas Efferth, Mainz, Germany  
Jerzy W. Jaroszewski, Copenhagen, Denmark †  
Ikhlas Khan, Oxford MS, USA  
Wolfgang Kreis, Erlangen, Germany  
Irmgard Merfort, Freiburg, Germany  
Kurt Schmidt, Graz, Austria  
Thomas Simmet, Ulm, Germany  
Hermann Stuppner, Innsbruck, Austria  
Yang-Chang Wu, Taichung, Taiwan  
Yang Ye, Shanghai, China

## Editorial Offices

Claudia Schärer, Basel, Switzerland  
Tess De Bruyne, Antwerp, Belgium

## Advisory Board

Giovanni Appendino, Novara, Italy  
John T. Arnason, Ottawa, Canada  
Yoshinori Asakawa, Tokushima, Japan  
Lars Bohlin, Uppsala, Sweden  
Gerhard Bringmann, Würzburg, Germany  
Reto Brun, Basel, Switzerland  
Mark S. Butler, S. Lucia, Australia  
Ihsan Calis, Ankara, Turkey  
Salvador Cañigueral, Barcelona, Spain  
Hartmut Derendorf, Gainesville, USA  
Verena Dirsch, Vienna, Austria  
Jürgen Drewe, Basel, Switzerland  
Roberto Maffei Facino, Milan, Italy  
Alfonso Garcia-Piñeres, Frederick MD, USA  
Rolf Gebhardt, Leipzig, Germany  
Clarissa Gerhäuser, Heidelberg, Germany  
Jürg Gertsch, Zürich, Switzerland  
Simon Gibbons, London, UK  
De-An Guo, Shanghai, China  
Leslie Gunatilaka, Tucson, USA  
Solomon Habtemariam, London, UK  
Andreas Hensel, Münster, Germany  
Werner Herz, Tallahassee, USA  
Kurt Hostettmann, Geneva, Switzerland  
Peter J. Houghton, London, UK  
Jinwoong Kim, Seoul, Korea  
Gabriele M. König, Bonn, Germany  
Ulrich Matern, Marburg, Germany  
Matthias Melzig, Berlin, Germany  
Dulcie Mulholland, Guildford, UK  
Eduardo Munoz, Cordoba, Spain  
Kirsi-Maria Oksman-Caldentey, Espoo, Finland  
Ana Maria de Oliveira, São Paulo, Brazil  
Nigel B. Perry, Dunedin, New Zealand  
Joseph Pfeilschifter, Frankfurt, Germany  
Peter Proksch, Düsseldorf, Germany  
Thomas Schmidt, Münster, Germany  
Volker Schulz, Berlin, Germany  
Hans-Uwe Simon, Bern, Switzerland  
Leandros Skaltsounis, Athens, Greece  
Han-Dong Sun, Kunming, China  
Benny K. H. Tan, Singapore, R. of Singapore  
Ren Xiang Tan, Nanjing, China  
Deniz Tasmemir, London, UK  
Nunziatina de Tommasi, Salerno, Italy  
Arnold Vlietinck, Antwerp, Belgium  
Angelika M. Vollmar, München, Germany  
Heikki Vuorela, Helsinki, Finland  
Jean-Luc Wolfender, Geneva, Switzerland  
De-Quan Yu, Beijing, China

## Publishers

**Georg Thieme Verlag KG  
Stuttgart · New York**  
Rüdigerstraße 14  
D-70469 Stuttgart  
Postfach 30 11 20  
D-70451 Stuttgart

## Thieme Publishers

333 Seventh Avenue  
New York, NY 10001, USA  
www.thieme.com

## Reprint

© Georg Thieme Verlag KG  
Stuttgart · New York  
Reprint with the permission  
of the publishers only

# Kaempferol Regulates the Lipid-Profile in High-Fat Diet-Fed Rats through an Increase in Hepatic PPAR $\alpha$ Levels

## Authors

Chia Ju Chang<sup>1</sup>, Thing-Fong Tzeng<sup>2</sup>, Shorong-Shii Liou<sup>3</sup>, Yuan-Shiun Chang<sup>1</sup>, I-Min Liu<sup>3</sup>

## Affiliations

<sup>1</sup> School of Chinese Pharmaceutical Sciences and Chinese Medicine Resources, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Internal Medicine, Pao Chien Hospital, Ping Tung City, Pingtung County, Taiwan

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pharmacy & Graduate Institute of Pharmaceutical Technology, Tajen University, Yanpu Shiang, Ping Tung Shien, Taiwan

## Key words

- kaempferol
- high-fat diet
- peroxisome proliferator activated receptor  $\alpha$
- acyl-CoA oxidase
- cytochrome P450 isoform 4A1

received January 31, 2011  
revised May 16, 2011  
accepted May 22, 2011

## Bibliography

DOI <http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1279992>  
Published online July 4, 2011  
*Planta Med* 2011; 77:  
1876–1882 © Georg Thieme  
Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York ·  
ISSN 0032-0943

## Correspondence

**Prof. I-Min Liu**  
Department of Pharmacy &  
Graduate Institute  
of Pharmaceutical Technology  
Tajen University  
No. 20, Weisin Rd  
Pingtung County  
Taiwan  
Phone: + 886-8-7 62 40 02-22 11  
Fax: + 886-8-7 62 53 08  
[iml@mail.tajen.edu.tw](mailto:iml@mail.tajen.edu.tw)

## Correspondence

**Prof. Yuan-Shiun Chang**  
School of Chinese  
Pharmaceutical Sciences and  
Chinese Medicine Resources  
China Medical University  
Taichung  
Taiwan  
Phone: + 886-4-22 03 03 80  
Fax: + 886-4-22 08 33 62  
[yschang@mail.cmu.edu.tw](mailto:yschang@mail.cmu.edu.tw)

## Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the anti-obesity and antihyperlipidemic effects of the flavonoid kaempferol (3,5,7,4'-tetrahydroxyflavone). After being fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for two weeks, rats were dosed orally with kaempferol (75, 150, or 300 mg/kg) or fenofibrate (100 mg/kg) once daily for eight weeks. Fenofibrate is an antilipemic agent that exerts its therapeutic effects through activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  (PPAR $\alpha$ ). Kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) produced effects similar to fenofibrate in reducing body weight gain, visceral fat-pad weights, plasma lipid levels, as well as the coronary artery risk and atherogenic indices of HFD-fed rats. Kaempferol also caused dose-related reductions in hepatic triglyceride and cholesterol content and lowered hepatic lipid droplet accumulation and the size of epididymal adipocytes in HFD-fed rats. Kaempferol and fenofibrate reversed the HFD-induced downregulation of he-

patic PPAR $\alpha$ . HFD-induced reductions in the hepatic levels of acyl-CoA oxidase (ACO), and cytochrome P450 isoform 4A1 (CYP4A1) proteins were reversed by kaempferol and fenofibrate. The elevated expression of hepatic sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) in HFD-fed rats were lowered by kaempferol and fenofibrate. These results suggest that kaempferol reduced the accumulation of visceral fat and improved hyperlipidemia in HFD-fed obese rats by increasing lipid metabolism through the downregulation of SREBPs and promoting the hepatic expression of ACO and CYP4A1, secondary to a direct upregulation hepatic PPAR $\alpha$  expression.

## Abbreviations

RCD: rat chow diet  
TC: total cholesterol  
TG: triglyceride

## Introduction

Obesity is a common chronic disorder of carbohydrate and fat metabolism, characterized by excessive fat deposition in adipose tissue and other internal organs, such as the liver, heart, skeletal muscle, and pancreatic islets [1]. Obesity remains a major global public health issue because of its increasing prevalence, which cuts across issues of sex, age group, ethnicity, or race [1]. Obesity alone can induce all the symptoms of metabolic syndrome which is associated with many additional health problems, including increased risk of insulin resistance, nonalcoholic fatty liver, atherosclerosis, degenerative disorders such as dementia, some immune-mediated disorders such as asthma, and certain cancers [2]. Pharmacological approaches to weight control have become an over-

riding priority [3]. Current trends for obesity management involve multiple pharmacological strategies, including blocking nutrient absorption, modulating fat metabolism, regulating adipose signals, and modulating the satiety center. However, these approaches have been associated with several serious adverse effects in the clinic, including adverse gastrointestinal effects and significant unfavorable cardiovascular effects [3]. As a result, a much safer therapeutic approach is necessary.

At present, most of the beneficial health effects of flavonoids are attributed to their ability to scavenge free radicals and chelate metals [4]. Kaempferol (3,5,7,4'-tetrahydroxyflavone), quercetin (3,5,7,3',4'-pentahydroxyflavone), and myricetin (3,5,7,3',4',5'-hexahydroxyflavone) are structurally related members of the flavonol fam-

ily, with four hydroxyl groups in kaempferol, five in quercetin, and six in myricetin. Beneficial effects of quercetin on lipid and lipoprotein profiles and the electrocardiogram have been reported in isoproterenol-treated, cardiotoxic male Wistar rats [5]. Myricetin has also been shown to normalize hypertriglyceridemia in diabetic rats [6]. Because of the structural similarity of kaempferol to quercetin and myricetin, it is of special interest to understand whether kaempferol has a positive influence on plasma lipids in a diabetic state.

Diet-induced obesity in rodents has been used as a model to investigate the interactions between the environment and genetics. Rats fed a high-fat diet (HFD) become obese and show distinctive visceral adiposity, dyslipidemia, hyperinsulinemia, and hepatic steatosis, which are typical of human obesity [7]. Therefore, this study investigated the effects of kaempferol on body fat and lipid profiles in rats with diet-induced obesity and sought possible mechanisms of action.

## Materials and Methods

### Animal models and treatment protocols

Male Wistar rats, 8 weeks of age, were obtained from the National Laboratory Animal Center (Taipei, Taiwan). They were maintained in a temperature-controlled room ( $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ) on a 12 h:12 h light-dark cycle (lights on at 06:00 h) in the animal center (Tajen University, Ping Tung Shien, Taiwan). Food and water were available *ad libitum*. Regular rat chow diet (RCD, #D12450B; Research Diets) with 20 kcal% protein, 70 kcal% carbohydrate, and 10 kcal% fat from lard was used as the maintenance and control diet. A purified ingredient HFD with 20 kcal% protein, 35 kcal% carbohydrate, and 45 kcal% fat primarily from lard (#D12451; Research Diets) was used to induce a rapid increase in body weight (BW) and obesity [8]. The caloric density of the control diet was 3.85 kcal/g; that of the HFD was 4.73 kcal/g. All animal procedures were performed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health, as well as the guidelines of the Animal Welfare Act. These studies were conducted with the approval of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at Tajen University (approval number: IACUC 99-16; approval date: September 9, 2010).

After being fed a HFD for two weeks, rats were dosed by oral gavage once per day for eight weeks with kaempferol (purity  $\geq 97.0\%$ ; Sigma-Aldrich) doses of 75, 150, and 300 mg/kg in a volume of 1.5 mL/kg distilled water. Another group of HFD-fed rats was treated orally for eight weeks with 100 mg/kg/day fenofibrate (purity  $\geq 99.0\%$ ; Sigma-Aldrich). The dose of fenofibrate was based on studies with long term fenofibrate treatment in rats [9]. A vehicle-control group of HFD-fed and RCD-fed rats was treated with 1.5 mL/kg distilled water only over the same treatment period. Eight weeks after treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate (total diet-fed period was 10 weeks), HFD-fed rats were weighed and anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg) administered intraperitoneally (i.p.), and blood samples were collected from the lateral tail vein. Food and water were supplied *ad libitum* throughout the 10-week experimental period. Samples were centrifuged at  $2000 \times g$  for 10 minutes at  $4^\circ\text{C}$ . The plasma was then removed and placed into aliquots for the respective analytical determinations. After blood was collected, the liver and visceral and subcutaneous white adipose tis-

ues (WAT) were removed, rinsed with physiological saline, weighed, and immediately stored at  $-70^\circ\text{C}$ .

### Biochemical parameter analysis

Diagnostic kits for the measurement of plasma glucose (Cat. No. 10009582), total cholesterol (TC; Cat. No. 10007640), and triglycerides (TG; Cat. No. 10010303) were purchased from Cayman Chemical Company. The diagnostic kit to determine plasma and hepatic levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) was purchased from Bio-Quant Diagnostics (Cat. No. BQ 019CR), and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels were calculated using Friedewald's equation [10]. Plasma free fatty acid (FFA) levels were determined using an FFA-quantification kit obtained from Abcam plc (Cat. No. ab65341). All samples were analyzed in triplicate.

### Determination of AI and CRI

Atherogenic index (AI) and coronary risk index (CRI) were calculated as  $\text{LDL-C}/\text{HDL-C}$  and  $\text{TC}/\text{HDL-C}$ , respectively [11, 12].

### Extraction of hepatic lipids

A section of each liver was collected for lipid content analysis. The liver (1.25 g) was homogenized with chloroform/methanol (1:2, 3.75 mL), and then chloroform (1.25 mL) and distilled water (1.25 mL) were added to the homogenate and mixed well. After centrifugation ( $1500 \times g$  for 10 min), the lower clear organic phase solution was transferred into a new glass tube and then lyophilized. The lyophilized powders were dissolved in chloroform/methanol (1:2) as the hepatic lipid extracts and stored at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  for fewer than three days [13].

### Hepatic pathological evaluation

Small pieces of hepatic tissues taken from experimental animals were fixed in 10% neutral formalin, dehydrated with alcohol, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned to a mean thickness of  $4 \mu\text{m}$ . Hematoxylin and eosin- (H&E) stained tissues were examined histologically to evaluate the index of diabetic-induced necrosis. Liver biopsy was scored according to the following criteria: grade 0, no steatosis, normal liver; grade 1,  $<25\%$  of hepatocytes affected; grade 2, 26–50% of hepatocytes affected; grade 3, 51–75% of hepatocytes affected; and grade 4,  $>76\%$  of hepatocytes affected [14].

### Adipocyte pathological evaluation

Histological photomicrographs of adipose tissue were analyzed with a light microscope using the paraffin method. Fresh tissues were fixed immediately in Bouin's solution for 6 to 12 hours, and then fixed tissues were washed under running water. After being dehydrated through different grades of alcohol, the tissues were embedded in paraffin block at  $60^\circ\text{C}$ . Sections ( $8 \mu\text{m}$ ) were cut and mounted on glass slides coated with an egg albumin, and the paraffin was removed using xylene and alcohol. The tissues were stained with H&E. After being dehydrated and cleared of alcohol and xylene, the glass slides were mounted in Canada balsam. Photomicrographs were taken with a Zeiss Axiolab light microscope equipped with a Nikon Microflex HFX microscope camera. The sizes of epididymal adipocytes were calculated using Image-Pro Plus 7.0 (Media Cybernetics).

**Table 1** Changes on body weight, food intake, and fat pad weight in HFD-fed rats receiving an 8-week treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate.

Variable(s)	RCD-fed Vehicle	HFD-fed Vehicle	Kaempferol (mg/kg/day)			Fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)
			75	150	300	
Initial BW (g/rat)	181.6 ± 8.1	182.5 ± 7.3	180.4 ± 7.5	179.3 ± 8.2	182.7 ± 6.8	181.4 ± 7.2
BW gain (g/rat)	18.6 ± 6.5 <sup>d</sup>	53.4 ± 7.4 <sup>b</sup>	41.4 ± 7.1 <sup>b</sup>	32.3 ± 7.3 <sup>a,c</sup>	24.9 ± 5.6 <sup>d</sup>	20.4 ± 5.8 <sup>d</sup>
Food intake (g/rat/day)	20.3 ± 6.3	21.4 ± 7.1	20.9 ± 6.8	21.8 ± 6.9	21.4 ± 8.2	20.9 ± 7.5
Water intake (ml/rat/day)	70.5 ± 8.5 <sup>c</sup>	92.9 ± 7.8 <sup>a</sup>	86.8 ± 9.7	85.1 ± 10.2	82.3 ± 8.3	80.7 ± 9.5
Epididymal WAT (mg/100 g BW)	303.6 ± 15.8 <sup>d</sup>	422.1 ± 21.3 <sup>b</sup>	391.5 ± 17.9 <sup>a</sup>	360.2 ± 25.4 <sup>a,c</sup>	328.1 ± 21.3 <sup>a,c</sup>	312.7 ± 16.2 <sup>d</sup>
Perirenal WAT (mg/100 g BW)	172.9 ± 12.7 <sup>d</sup>	263.8 ± 14.2 <sup>b</sup>	243.2 ± 15.0 <sup>a</sup>	211.6 ± 15.4 <sup>a,c</sup>	197.2 ± 13.4 <sup>c</sup>	181.1 ± 13.1 <sup>d</sup>
Mesenteric WAT (mg/100 g BW)	129.8 ± 9.6 <sup>c</sup>	191.7 ± 13.6 <sup>a</sup>	182.4 ± 14.5 <sup>a</sup>	166.3 ± 11.5 <sup>a</sup>	145.7 ± 9.8 <sup>c</sup>	133.6 ± 10.2 <sup>c</sup>
Inguinal WAT (mg/100 g BW)	146.9 ± 11.2 <sup>c</sup>	223.6 ± 14.2 <sup>a</sup>	206.7 ± 13.1 <sup>a</sup>	181.5 ± 12.3 <sup>a,c</sup>	160.7 ± 10.2 <sup>c</sup>	151.3 ± 11.7 <sup>c</sup>

Kaempferol or fenofibrate was dissolved in distilled water for oral administration at the desired doses in a volume of 10 ml/kg once a day into HFD-fed rats. The vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the tested medications was given at the same volume. Values (mean ± SD) were obtained from a group of 7 animals in each group after 8 weeks of the experimental period; <sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  and <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.01$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats in each group, respectively; <sup>c</sup>  $p < 0.05$  and <sup>d</sup>  $p < 0.01$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats in each group, respectively

### Preparation of hepatic fractions

Hepatic fractions were prepared as described previously [15]. To prepare nuclear fractions, hepatic tissue was homogenized with ice-cold lysis buffer containing 5 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 2 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 15 mmol/L CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.5 mol/L sucrose, 0.1 mol/L dithiothreitol (DTT), and protease inhibitor cocktail. After centrifugation (10 500 × g for 20 minutes at 4 °C), the pellet was suspended in extraction buffer containing 20 mmol/L 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinyl]ethanesulfonic acid (pH 7.9), 1.5 mmol/L MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.42 mol/L NaCl, 0.2 mmol/L EDTA, and 25% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 mol/L DTT, and protease inhibitor cocktail. The mixture was placed on ice for 30 minutes. The nuclear fraction was prepared by centrifugation at 20 500 × g for 5 minutes at 4 °C. The post-nuclear fraction was extracted from the liver of each rat as described below. In brief, hepatic tissue was homogenized with ice-cold lysis buffer (pH 7.4) containing 137 mmol/L NaCl, 20 mmol/L Tris-HCl, 1% Tween 20, 10% glycerol, 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and protease inhibitor cocktail solution in DMSO. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 2000 × g for 10 minutes at 4 °C. The protein concentration of each fraction was determined using a commercial kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories).

### Western blot analyses

For the determination of peroxisome proliferators activated receptor (PPAR), sterol regulatory element binding protein (SREBP)-1, and SREBP-2, 30 mg protein of each nuclear fraction was resolved using 8% sodium dodecylsulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). Separated proteins were transferred electrophoretically to a nitrocellulose membrane, blocked with 5% (w/v) skim milk solution for 1 hour, and then incubated with primary antibodies to PPAR $\alpha$  (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-1985), SREBP-1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-367), SREBP-2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-5603), or  $\beta$ -actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-130656) overnight at 4 °C. After the blots were washed, they were incubated with goat anti-rabbit and/or goat anti-mouse IgG HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1.5 hours at room temperature. The blots were stripped with Restore Western Blot Stripping Buffer (CANDOR Bioscience GmbH) for 15 minutes and incubated with the antibodies. In addition, 30 mg protein from each post-nuclear fraction for acyl-CoA oxidase (ACO; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-98499) and cytochrome P450 isoform 4A1 (CYP4A1; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.; Cat. No. sc-

53248) was subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE. Each antigen-antibody complex was visualized using ECL Western blotting detection reagents and detected by chemiluminescence with LAS-1000 plus (Fujifilm). Band densities were determined using ATTO Densitograph Software (ATTO Corporation) and quantified as the ratio to  $\beta$ -actin. The mean value for samples from the vehicle-treated RCD-fed group on each immunoblot, expressed in densitometry units, was adjusted to a value of 1.0. All experimental sample values were then expressed relative to this adjusted mean value.

### Statistical Analysis

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for each group of animals at the number indicated in tables. Statistical analysis was performed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The Dunnett range post hoc comparisons were used to determine the source of significant differences where appropriate. A  $p$  value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results


The BWs of HFD-fed rats in the drug-treated and vehicle-treated groups were monitored over the eight-week treatment period (Table 1). At the end of treatment, the BW of kaempferol-treated rats was significantly lower than that of rats in the vehicle-treated group. Kaempferol significantly suppressed BW gain at both the moderate (150 mg/kg/day) and high doses (300 mg/kg/day). Similar results were seen in rats treated with fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day; Table 1). No significant differences in daily food intake were observed among the groups over the experimental period, despite the slightly higher water intake observed in the vehicle-treated HFD-fed group as compared to the others (Table 1).

The weight of fat pads from HFD-fed rats in the drug-treated and vehicle-treated groups were assessed after the treatment period (Table 1). Epididymal WAT, perirenal WAT, mesenteric WAT, and inguinal WAT weights were lower in kaempferol-treated rats than in their vehicle-treated counterparts. A significant reduction in fat pad weight was seen with both the moderate (150 mg/kg/day) and high doses (300 mg/kg/day) of kaempferol ( $p < 0.05$ ). Similarly, after treatment with fenofibrate, epididymal,

**Table 2** Changes in the plasma lipids, hepatic lipids, atherogenic index (AI), and coronary artery index (CRI) in HFD-fed rats receiving an 8-week treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate.

Variable(s)	RCD-fed Vehicle	HFD-fed Vehicle	Kaempferol (mg/kg/day)			Fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)
			75	150	300	
Plasma TC (mg/dL)	72.9 ± 5.8 <sup>d</sup>	130.2 ± 12.3 <sup>b</sup>	116.7 ± 10.3 <sup>b</sup>	99.6 ± 8.3 <sup>a,c</sup>	89.1 ± 7.9 <sup>a,c</sup>	80.2 ± 8.7 <sup>d</sup>
Plasma TG (mg/dL)	56.8 ± 6.6 <sup>d</sup>	124.5 ± 6.3 <sup>b</sup>	110.9 ± 6.8 <sup>b</sup>	95.0 ± 5.2 <sup>b,c</sup>	80.3 ± 4.8 <sup>b,c</sup>	62.5 ± 5.3 <sup>d</sup>
Plasma LDL-C (mg/dL)	31.8 ± 3.2 <sup>d</sup>	100.2 ± 4.2 <sup>b</sup>	82.5 ± 5.7 <sup>b,c</sup>	64.3 ± 5.1 <sup>b,c</sup>	48.1 ± 4.5 <sup>a,d</sup>	39.2 ± 4.7 <sup>d</sup>
Plasma HDL-C (mg/dL)	46.9 ± 3.4 <sup>d</sup>	27.8 ± 3.8 <sup>b</sup>	32.1 ± 4.2 <sup>b</sup>	39.1 ± 2.9 <sup>a,c</sup>	43.9 ± 3.2 <sup>d</sup>	45.9 ± 3.5 <sup>d</sup>
Plasma FFAs (mg/dL)	28.2 ± 2.8 <sup>d</sup>	61.9 ± 5.3 <sup>b</sup>	55.3 ± 4.6 <sup>b</sup>	47.1 ± 3.8 <sup>b,c</sup>	39.5 ± 3.4 <sup>a,d</sup>	33.2 ± 4.1 <sup>d</sup>
AI	0.7 ± 0.1 <sup>d</sup>	3.6 ± 0.3 <sup>b</sup>	2.6 ± 0.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.6 ± 0.2 <sup>a,c</sup>	1.1 ± 0.1 <sup>a,d</sup>	0.9 ± 0.2 <sup>d</sup>
CRI	1.6 ± 0.3 <sup>d</sup>	4.7 ± 0.4 <sup>b</sup>	3.6 ± 0.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.5 ± 0.3 <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0 ± 0.2 <sup>d</sup>	1.7 ± 0.3 <sup>d</sup>
Hepatic TC (μmol/g liver)	18.3 ± 2.7 <sup>d</sup>	36.2 ± 3.1 <sup>b</sup>	33.9 ± 2.5 <sup>b</sup>	31.7 ± 2.6 <sup>a</sup>	26.9 ± 2.5 <sup>c</sup>	22.4 ± 2.4 <sup>c</sup>
Hepatic TG (μmol/g liver)	12.4 ± 2.2 <sup>d</sup>	27.2 ± 2.4 <sup>b</sup>	24.3 ± 1.9 <sup>b</sup>	20.8 ± 2.1 <sup>a</sup>	17.6 ± 1.5 <sup>c</sup>	14.3 ± 1.8 <sup>c</sup>

Kaempferol or fenofibrate was dissolved in distilled water for oral administration at the desired doses in a volume of 10 ml/kg once a day into HFD-fed rats. The vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the tested medications was given at the same volume. Values (mean ± SD) were obtained from a group of 8 animals in each group after 8 weeks of the experimental period; <sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  and <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.01$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats in each group, respectively; <sup>c</sup>  $p < 0.05$  and <sup>d</sup>  $p < 0.01$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats in each group, respectively



**Fig. 1** Histological photographs of livers from rats treated with kaempferol, fenofibrate, or vehicle for 8 weeks. Vehicle-treated rats were given the same volume of vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the test medications. Photomicrographs are of tissues isolated from vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats (**A**), vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats (**B**), kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day)-treated HFD-fed rats (**C**), or fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)-treated HFD-fed rats (**D**). Photomicrographs were taken at a magnification of ×400.

perirenal mesenteric, and inguinal fat pads were 27%, 32%, 31%, and 33% lower, respectively, than in their vehicle-treated counterparts.


The HFD caused elevated concentrations of plasma TC, TG, and LDL-C. The moderate (150 mg/kg/day) and high doses (300 mg/kg/day) of kaempferol significantly reduced plasma total TC levels (23.8% and 31.6% reduction, respectively) compared with vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats (Table 2). All doses of kaempferol decreased plasma TG levels in HFD-fed rats (Table 2). The low (75 mg/kg/day), moderate, and high doses of kaempferol significantly reduced plasma LDL-C levels (17.6%, 35.8%, and 51.9% reductions, respectively) (Table 2). Plasma TC, TG, and LDL-C concentrations were reduced significantly by 38.2%, 49.7%, and 60.1%, respectively, in fenofibrate-treated, HFD-fed rats compared with vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats (Table 2).

The plasma concentration of HDL-C in HFD-fed rats was reduced to 59.2% of the level in the RCD-fed group (Table 2). After 8

weeks of treatment with kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) or fenofibrate, the plasma HDL-C concentration in HFD-fed rats was elevated to nearly that of the RCD-fed rats.

Plasma FFAs were significantly higher in vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats compared to RCD-fed rats (Table 2). The plasma FFA level was reduced by 36.2% in HFD-fed rats treated with 300 mg/kg/day kaempferol, compared with their vehicle-treated counterparts (Table 2). Fenofibrate treatment reduced FFA concentrations in HFD-fed rats by 46.3% relative to the level in vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats ( $p < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

Fenofibrate treatment arrested the elevation of AI and CRI in HFD-fed rats (Table 2). Kaempferol treatment also caused a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) and dose-related reduction in the atherogenic and coronary artery risk indices in the HFD-fed rats when compared to the values recorded for their vehicle-treated counterparts (Table 2).



**Fig. 2** Histological photographs of epididymal fat pads from rats treated with kaempferol, fenofibrate, or vehicle for 8 weeks. Vehicle-treated rats were given the same volume of vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the test medications. Photomicrographs are of tissues isolated from vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats (**A**), vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats (**B**), kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day)-treated HFD-fed rats (**C**), or fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)-treated HFD-fed rats (**D**). Photomicrographs were taken at a magnification of  $\times 400$ .

The hepatic TC level was significantly higher in HFD-fed rats than in rats from the RCD-fed group. TC levels were reduced by 25.6% in HFD-fed rats treated with 300 mg/kg/day kaempferol (Table 2). Similarly, kaempferol treatment (300 mg/kg/day) also produced a significant reduction in hepatic TG concentration, to 64.7% of that in vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats (Table 2). Hepatic TC and TG levels were significantly reduced (by 38.1% and 47.4%, respectively) in fenofibrate-treated rats compared with vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats (Table 2).

HFD-fed rats showed significantly greater hepatic lipid accumulation than RCD-fed animals (Fig. 1A and 1B). The extent of hepatic lipid accumulation after 8 weeks in fenofibrate-treated, HFD-fed rats was similar to that in RCD-fed rats (Fig. 1A and 1C). HFD-fed rats treated with 300 mg/kg/day kaempferol showed considerably lower hepatic lipid accumulation than their vehicle-treated counterparts (Fig. 1B and 1D). The pathological grading of hepatic steatosis in each group is indicated in Table 3. The histological appearance of the epididymal adipocytes was irregular in HFD-fed rats compared to the RCD-fed group; this morphological change did not appear in HFD-fed groups after 8 weeks of fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day) treatment (Fig. 2A, 2B and 2C). The histological appearance of the epididymal adipocytes was more regular in HFD-fed rats treated with kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) for 8 weeks (Fig. 2D). In addition, the sizes of epididymal adipocytes were significantly bigger in the HFD-fed group compared to the RCD-fed group (Fig. 2A and 2B). After 8 weeks of treatment, the average size of the epididymal adipocytes was lower by approximately 26.5% and 37.3%, respectively in kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) or fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)-treated HFD-fed rats as compared with the vehicle-treated counterparts (Fig. 2C and 2D; Table 3).

The histological appearance of the epididymal adipocytes was irregular in HFD-fed rats compared with animals in the RCD-fed group. This morphological change was not evident in HFD-fed rats after fenofibrate treatment (Fig. 2A, 2B, and 2C). The histological appearance of the epididymal adipocytes in HFD-fed rats treated with 300 mg/kg/day kaempferol was not as normal


**Table 3** Results of histopathological findings in livers and epididymal adipocytes of HFD-fed rats receiving an 8-week treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate.

Findings	RCD-fed	HFD-fed		
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day)	Fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)
Hepatic steatosis (0–4)	0 <sup>b</sup>	3.1 $\pm$ 0.4 <sup>a</sup>	1.8 $\pm$ 0.6 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.2 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>a,b</sup>
Average sizes of epididymal adipocytes ( $\mu$ m)	30.1 $\pm$ 8.2 <sup>b</sup>	51.2 $\pm$ 7.1	37.6 $\pm$ 6.2 <sup>a,b</sup>	32.1 $\pm$ 5.9 <sup>a,b</sup>

Kaempferol or fenofibrate was dissolved in distilled water for oral administration at the desired doses in a volume of 10 ml/kg once a day into HFD-fed rats. The vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the tested medications was given at the same volume. Values (mean  $\pm$  SD) were obtained from each group of 8 animals in each group after 8 weeks of the experimental period; <sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats in each group, respectively; <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats in each group, respectively

as in fenofibrate-treated rats, but was better than in vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 2D). Epididymal adipocytes were also significantly larger in HFD-fed compared to RCD-fed rats (Fig. 2A and 2B). The average size of the epididymal adipocytes was reduced by approximately 26.5% and 37.3%, respectively, in HFD-fed rats treated with kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) or fenofibrate compared with their vehicle-treated counterparts (Fig. 2C and 2D; Table 3).

Hepatic PPAR $\alpha$  protein expression in HFD-fed rats was lower than that in RCD-fed animals, but was elevated significantly by treatment with kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) (Fig. 3). In addition, the hepatic expression levels of ACO and CYP4A proteins in HFD-fed rats were markedly lower than in RCD-fed rats but were significantly elevated in kaempferol-treated (300 mg/kg/day) HFD-fed rats (Fig. 3). Similar results were seen in rats treated with fenofibrate (Table 3).



**Fig. 3** Representative immunoblots of protein expression of PPAR $\alpha$ , ACO, CYP4A, and SREBPs in livers of HFD-fed rats receiving 8-week treatment with kaempferol, fenofibrate, or vehicle. Vehicle-treated rats were given the same volume of vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the test medications. Similar results were obtained with an additional 4 replications. Quantification of the data is shown in **Table 4**.

The expression levels of hepatic SREBP-1 and SREBP-2 proteins in HFD-fed rats were significantly higher in RCD-fed rats. The levels were decreased by 53.7% and 49.3% in HFD-fed rats treated with kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day), relative to those in vehicle-treated, HFD-fed rats (**Fig. 3**). Hepatic SREBP-1 and SREBP-2 protein expression levels in HFD-fed rats treated with fenofibrate were 50.2% and 42.2%, respectively, lower than those in their vehicle-treated counterparts (**Fig. 3**).

## Discussion

In this study, BW loss in HFD-fed rats was accompanied by a depletion of body fat stores, since treatment with kaempferol also significantly reduced the weight of the visceral and subcutaneous WAT compared with that of vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats. Excessive growth of adipose tissue results in obesity which involves two growth mechanisms: hyperplasia (cell number increase) and hypertrophy (cell size increase) [16]. The histological appearance of white adipocytes in HFD-fed animals supplemented with fenofibrate or kaempferol was more regular, and adipocytes were similar in size to those in RCD-fed rats. This suggests that kaempferol suppresses the HFD-induced increase in adipose tissue mass and BW gain and that it may inhibit lipid accumulation in adipose tissue in particular.

Obesity, especially abdominal obesity, is associated with dyslipidemia, characterized by elevated TG and reduced HDL-C concentrations [17]. TGs are involved in the ectopic accumulation of lipid stores in the liver and are associated with a number of diseases such as metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes [18]. High TC levels increase the risk of developing coronary heart disease, and high levels of LDL-C are a risk factor for coronary heart disease, while high HDL-C is helpful in transporting excess cholesterol to the liver for excretion in the bile [19]. As a result, HDL-C levels are inversely related to coronary heart disease risk [20]. Similar to fenofibrate treatment, the oral administration of kaempferol significantly lowered plasma TC, TG, and LDL-C levels in rats with HFD-induced obesity. Thus, kaempferol may be of benefit to patients with hypercholesterolemia and hypertriglyceridemia. The effect of kaempferol on the atherogenic and coronary artery risk indices is also notable. The ratio of total cholesterol to HDL-C

**Table 4** Quantification of the protein expression of PPAR $\alpha$ , ACO, CYP4A, and SREBPs in livers of HFD-fed rats receiving an 8-week treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate.

Relative units	RCD-fed		HFD-fed	
	Vehicle	Vehicle	Kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day)	Fenofibrate (100 mg/kg/day)
PPAR $\alpha$ / $\beta$ -actin	1.00 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.58 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.78 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.85 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a,b</sup>
ACO/ $\beta$ -actin	1.01 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.45 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.13 $\pm$ 0.04 <sup>a,b</sup>
CYP4A/ $\beta$ -actin	1.00 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.43 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.09 $\pm$ 0.05 <sup>a,b</sup>
SREBP-1/ $\beta$ -actin	1.02 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	2.92 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	1.35 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.46 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a,b</sup>
SREBP-2/ $\beta$ -actin	1.01 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>b</sup>	3.08 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.56 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>a,b</sup>	1.78 $\pm$ 0.08 <sup>a,b</sup>

Kaempferol or fenofibrate was dissolved in distilled water for oral administration at the desired doses in a volume of 10 ml/kg once a day into HFD-fed rats. The vehicle (distilled water) used to dissolve the tested medications was given at the same volume. Values (mean  $\pm$  SD) were obtained from a group of 8 animals in each group after 8 weeks of experimental period; <sup>a</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated RCD-fed rats in each group, respectively; <sup>b</sup>  $p < 0.05$  compared to the values of vehicle-treated HFD-fed rats in each group, respectively

(i.e., the atherogenic index) and the ratio of LDL-C to HDL-C (i.e., the coronary artery index) are strong and reliable indicators of whether or not cholesterol is deposited into tissues or metabolized and excreted [21]. The results of this study show that treatment with kaempferol or fenofibrate causes profound reductions in the atherogenic and coronary indices in experimental hyperlipidemic rats. This strongly suggests that kaempferol has therapeutic potential for the management of obesity and hyperlipidemia, and for the prevention of atherogenic cardiovascular diseases.

It has been reported that kaempferol is a weak partial agonist of PPAR $\gamma$  with increasing insulin sensitivity [22]. Due to the ability of kaempferol to reduce serum levels of TGs and total cholesterol, as well as adipose tissue mass and BW gain functions similar to those of PPAR $\alpha$  activation, we hypothesized that the actions of kaempferol are related to the regulation of hepatic expression of PPAR $\alpha$ -target genes involved in lipid metabolism. In hepatocytes and other tissues (e.g., heart), ligand- (natural long chain fatty acids) activated PPAR $\alpha$  binds to peroxisome proliferator response elements of DNA and increases the transcription of genes encoding enzymes involved in fatty acid oxidation and lipoprotein metabolism [23,24]. The outcome is an increase in hepatic fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis, decreased lipid levels in tissues, and protection against lipotoxicity. We found that kaempferol-treated HFD-fed rats had significantly higher hepatic PPAR $\alpha$  protein, and that the effect of kaempferol was equivalent to that of fenofibrate. It appears that kaempferol regulates the lipid profile in HFD-fed rats through an increase in hepatic PPAR $\alpha$  levels. The discovery of kaempferol as a PPAR $\alpha$  activator may offer the promise of a novel class of anti-diabetic drug. A metabolism coefficient of 6.25 was employed to convert the effective daily oral dosage of kaempferol for rats (300 mg/kg) into people; assuming that the average body weight of an adult is 60 kg [25], the recommended daily oral dosage of kaempferol applied in humans is nearly 2.8 g. Although reductions in lipogenic activity and in dietary lipid absorption have been suggested as causes of the reduced liver lipid content, an increased capacity of peroxisomal  $\beta$ -oxidation (ACO) and microsomal  $\omega$ -oxidation (CYP4A) of fatty acids could also be contributing factors [26]. Elevated hepatic levels of ACO and CYP4A proteins imply an enhanced oxidation of fatty acids in the peroxisomes and microsomes of kaempferol-treated, HFD-fed rats. These results support the contention that kaempferol, by di-

rectly or indirectly activating PPAR $\alpha$ , can upregulate the expression of genes downstream of PPAR $\alpha$ , which may lead to enhanced hepatic fatty acid oxidation and reduced TG content.

The sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) are a family of three basic helix-loop-helix leucine zipper transcription factors (SREBP-1a, -1c, and -2) that have been identified as transacting factors involved in the maintenance of intracellular cholesterol homeostasis, the control of fatty acid metabolism, and the differentiation of adipocytes [27]. The SREBP-2 isoform activates genes of the cholesterologenic pathway, whereas the SREBP-1 isoforms are more active in regulating the synthesis of fatty acids [28]. It has been documented that SREBPs were the upregulated genes related to fatty acid synthase and cholesterol levels [29]. In the current study, the elevated expressions of SREBP-1 and SREBP-2 in HFD-fed rats were significantly decreased by the kaempferol (300 mg/kg/day) treatment. These results suggest that kaempferol has an ameliorating effect on dyslipidemia through the impaired hepatic SREBPs as well.

In conclusion, the results of this study show that kaempferol suppresses BW gain and body fat accumulation by increasing fatty acid oxidation, an effect which is likely mediated via up-regulation of PPAR $\alpha$  and down-regulation of SREBP expression in the liver of HFD-fed rats. We suggest that supplemental treatment with kaempferol may prevent or improve obesity by modulating lipid metabolism and preventing metabolic syndrome, a representative, lifestyle-related cluster of diseases caused by an excessively HFD.

#### Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by a grant (CCMP99-RD-022) from the Committee on Chinese Medicine and Pharmacy, Department of Health, Executive Yuan, Taiwan.

#### Conflict of Interest

No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- McCarthy MI. Genomics, type 2 diabetes, and obesity. *N Engl J Med* 2010; 363: 2339–2350
- Tilg H, Moschen AR. Adipocytokines: mediators linking adipose tissue, inflammation and immunity. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2006; 6: 772–783
- Padwal RS, Majumdar SR. Drug treatments for obesity: orlistat, sibutramine, and rimonabant. *Lancet* 2007; 369: 71–77
- Nijveldt RJ, van Nood E, van Hoorn DE, Boelens PG, van Norren K, van Leeuwen PA. Flavonoids: a review of probable mechanisms of action and potential applications. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001; 74: 418–425
- Prince PS, Sathya B. Pretreatment with quercetin ameliorates lipids, lipoproteins and marker enzymes of lipid metabolism in isoproterenol treated cardiotoxic male Wistar rats. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2010; 635: 142–148
- Ong KC, Khoo HE. Effects of myricetin on glycemia and glycogen metabolism in diabetic rats. *Life Sci* 2000; 67: 1695–1705
- Sclafani A, Springer D. Dietary obesity in adult rats: similarities to hypothalamic and human obesity syndromes. *Physiol Behav* 1976; 17: 461–471
- van Heek M, Compton DS, France CF, Tedesco RP, Fawzi B, Graziano MP, Sybertz EJ, Strader CD, Davis jr. HR. Diet-induced obese mice develop peripheral, but not central, resistance to leptin. *J Clin Invest* 1997; 99: 385–390
- Zhou JY, Zhou SW, Zhang KB, Tang JL, Guang LX, Ying Y, Xu Y, Zhang L, Li DD. Chronic effects of berberine on blood, liver glucolipid metabolism and liver PPARs expression in diabetic hyperlipidemic rats. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2008; 31: 1169–1176
- Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma without use of preparative ultracentrifuge. *Clin Chem* 1972; 18: 499–502
- Abbott RD, Wilson PW, Kannel WB, Castelli WP. High density lipoprotein-cholesterol, total cholesterol screening and myocardial infarction. The Framingham Study. *Arteriosclerosis* 1988; 8: 207–211
- Shanmugasundaram KR, Visvanathan A, Dhandapani K, Srinivasan N, Rasappan P, Gilbert R, Alladi S, Kancharla S, Vasanthi N. Effect of high-fat diet on cholesterol distribution in plasma lipoproteins, cholesterol esterifying activity in leucocytes, and erythrocyte membrane components studied: importance of body weight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986; 44: 805–815
- Folch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH. A simple method for the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissues. *J Biol Chem* 1957; 226: 497–506
- Brunt EM, Janney CG, Di Bisceglie AM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Bacon BR. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999; 94: 2467–2474
- Park CH, Yamabe N, Noh JS, Kang KS, Tanaka T, Yokozawa T. The beneficial effects of morroniside on the inflammatory response and lipid metabolism in the liver of db/db mice. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2009; 32: 1734–1740
- Mancini FP, Lanni A, Sabatino L, Moreno M, Giannino A, Contaldo F, Colantuoni V, Goglia F. Fenofibrate prevents and reduces body weight gain and adiposity in diet-induced obese rats. *FEBS Lett* 2001; 491: 154–158
- Björntorp P. Number and size of adipose tissue fat cells in relation to metabolism in human obesity. *Metabolism* 1971; 20: 703–713
- Paccaud F, Schlüter-Fasmeyer V, Wietlisbach V, Bovet P. Dyslipidemia and abdominal obesity: an assessment in three general populations. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2000; 53: 393–400
- Malloy MJ, Kane JP. A risk factor for atherosclerosis: triglyceride-rich lipoproteins. *Adv Intern Med* 2001; 47: 111–136
- Libby P. Current concepts of the pathogenesis of the acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation* 2001; 104: 365–372
- Ansell BJ, Watson KE, Fogelman AM, Navab M, Fonarow GC. High-density lipoprotein function recent advances. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 46: 1792–1798
- Hartog MGL, Feskens EJM, Hollman PCH, Katan MB, Kromhouy D. Dietary antioxidant flavonoids and risk of coronary heart disease: the Zutphen Elderly Study. *Lancet* 1993; 342: 1007–1011
- Fang XK, Gao J, Zhu DN. Kaempferol and quercetin isolated from *Euonymus alatus* improve glucose uptake of 3T3-L1 cells without adipogenesis activity. *Life Sci* 2008; 82: 615–622
- Mandard S, Muller M, Kersten S. Peroxisome proliferators-activated receptor alpha target genes. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 2004; 61: 393–416
- Hodge HC, Downs WL, Panner BS, Smith DW, Maynard EA. Oral toxicity and metabolism of diuron (N-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-N',N'-dimethylurea) in rats and dogs. *Food Chem Toxicol* 1967; 5: 513–531
- Fatehi-Hassanabad Z, Chan C. Transcriptional regulation of lipid metabolism by fatty acids: a key determinant of pancreatic beta-cell function. *Nutr Metab* 2005; 2: 1–12
- Briggs MR, Yokoyama C, Wang X, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. Nuclear protein that binds sterol regulatory element of low density lipoprotein receptor promotor. I. Identification of the protein and delineation of its target nucleotide sequence. *J Biol Chem* 1993; 268: 14490–14496
- Ginsberg HN. REVIEW: Efficacy and mechanisms of action of statins in the treatment of diabetic dyslipidemia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006; 91: 383–392
- Park CH, Yamabe N, Noh JS, Kang KS, Tanaka T, Yokozawa T. The beneficial effects of morroniside on the inflammatory response and lipid metabolism in the liver of db/db mice. *Biol Pharm Bull* 2009; 32: 1734–1740